

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 11/12/2003 Roger Hsiao-Ching Fang 53321-030000 8274 10/712,201 **EXAMINER** 12/02/2004 33717 7590 KAVANAUGH, JOHN T GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP 2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SANTA MONICA, CA 90404 3728

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---------------------|
| | 10/712,201 | FANG ET AL. | V |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ted Kavanaugh | 3728 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communi | _ | with the correspondence ad | dress |
| Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication.)) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will. by statute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file | d on . | | |
| • | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practic | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the a | nolication | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. | - | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restric | tion and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the | Evaminer | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any object | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | | | FR 1.121(d). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to | • | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | ., | • | • |
| • | for foreign priority under 25 U.S.C. | £ 110(a) (d) or (f) | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim of a limit of the control of the priority of the prio | documents have been received. | 9 119(a)-(u) or (t). | |
| | documents have been received in | Application No. | |
| | of the priority documents have bee | | Stage |
| · | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action | | ot received. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview | / Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | TO-948) Paper No | o(s)/Mail Date | . 450) |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-12-2003. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | |

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the phrase "valving means..." is unclear and indefinite since it is not clear if applicant is trying to invoke 112, 6th paragraph.

A claim limitation will be interpreted to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph if it meets the following 3-prong analysis:

- (A) the claim limitations must use the phrase "means for" or "step for";
- (B) the "means for" or "step for" must be modified by functional language; and
- (C) the phrase "means for" or "step for" must not be modified by structure, material or acts for achieving the specified function. Applicant fails to have the first steps of the 3-prong analysis.

Moreover, applicant's specification does not adequately describe the corresponding structure, material, or acts necessary to support a claim limitation which invokes 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph and therefore it is unclear what structure such language encompasses. "[Sixth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112] was intended to permit the use of means expressions without recitation of all the possible means that might be used in a claimed apparatus. The price that must be paid for use of the convenience is limitation of the claim to the means specified in the written description and equivalents thereof." O.I. Corp. v. Tekmar Co., 42 USPQ2d 17777, 1782 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Art Unit: 3728

If applicant wishes to properly invoke 35 U.S.C., 6th paragraph, applicant must:
-show why the claim language properly invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th

-identify the function

-amend the specification to **explicitly** state what structure corresponds to the recited function with reference to the claimed terms and phrases, provided no new matter is introduced. (e.g. means for fastening includes one of the following equivalent structures: hook and loop type fastener, a snap fastener, a magnetic fastener or a button fastener)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1,4-7, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 5351710 (Phillips).

Phillips teaches an athletic shoe (see col. 4, lines 3-5) comprising a sole, an upper, a lace (athletic shoes are well known in the art to have a sole, an upper and a lace), and a bladder (10) with an open cell foam material (52) and valve (16,42) disposed on a tongue. The valve unit performs the function as claimed inasmuch as it has all the structure as claimed and also has a pump, which facilitates inflating the bladder. The valving means (42,16) includes a first outer

Art Unit: 3728

domed portion (120), a plurality of air spaces (132), a skirt, a first valve unit (104,108), a second valve element (100), and skirts and flanges as claimed (see figures 10-14 which show a plurality of skirts and flanges on the valve means)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips '710 in view of US 4251932 (Love).

Phillips teaches a shoe with a bladder substantially as claimed except for the layers of the bladder sewn together about their periphery. Love teaches the layers of a foot cushioning device being sewn together. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to join the layers of Phillips by sewing, as taught by Love, to provide a cheaper means of combining the layers together.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:

Art Unit: 3728

-"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."

--"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."

-Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

Telephone inquiries regarding other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners" M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9306 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1244. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM. Starting November 8th, 2004 the examiner's telephone number will change to (571) 272-4556.

Art Unit: 3728

Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK November 25, 2004